

1 August Gugelmann, SBN 240544
2 SWANSON & McNAMARA LLP
3 300 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100
4 San Francisco, California 94104
5 Telephone: (415) 477-3800
6 Facsimile: (415) 477-9010
7
8 Attorney for JOSE MIGUEL ROBLES
9
10
11
12

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15
16
17

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
14
15 vs.
16 JOSE MIGUEL ROBLES,
17 Defendant.

Case No. 4:21-MJ-71411-MAG

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
CONTINUE AS MODIFIED**

18
19 This case is currently set for an arraignment/preliminary hearing on February 3, 2022.
20 The parties are requesting a continuance of the matter to 10:00 a.m. on March 24, 2022. This
21 will provide the parties with needed time to review discovery and discuss resolution of the case.

22 Pursuant to Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) and the Speedy Trial Act, Mr. Davis
23 agrees to waive time for both the preliminary examination and under the Speedy Trial Act. The
24 government has produced voluminous discovery and defense counsel needs time to review and
25 discuss the discovery with Mr. Robles. The parties therefore request that the Court exclude from
26 the time limits of 18 U.S.C. § 3161 the period from February 3 to March 24, 2022, to allow for
27 effective preparation and continuity of counsel, and the parties agree that the ends of justice
28

1 served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the
2 defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4 Dated: February 2, 2022

/s/
August Gugelmann
SWANSON & McNAMARA LLP
Attorneys for Jose Miguel Robles

/s/
Molly K. Priedeman
Assistant United States Attorney

11 **ORDER**

12 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The matter is continued to
13 March 24, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting this
14 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial, and
15 accordingly excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure
16 5.1 until March 24, 2022. The Court finds this exclusion necessary for continuity of counsel and
17 to allow for the effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); Federal
18 Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c), (d).

19 Dated: February 2, 2022


Hon. Kandis A. Westmore
United States Magistrate Judge